

# Final Project Grading Rubric

COCS 4550/5550

Intro to AI

Fall 2017

Congrats on finishing your final project and making it through the class – you're now one step closer to becoming an AI expert!

The following rubric contains the standards that I will be using to grade your video when you share it with the class (and briefly answer questions about it afterwards). Since novel methods and applications are not both required for this project, I'll count only the best 4 out of 5 category scores to make up your video grade – and combine that with your project proposal and check-in grades to determine your final project grade.

Your video should be no longer than 5 minutes in length, and briefly include each of the following topics: title slide, motivation, application, methods, results, and conclusion. In order to have your video shown in class (and thus graded on time), please email me (ncheney@uwyo.edu) a link to an uploaded YouTube video by 11:59pm on 12/7 with the subject line: “*yourFirstName\_yourLastName\_COCSyourClassNumber\_ProjectVideoLink*”

I'm looking forward to seeing all of your awesome projects!

Final Project Grading Rubric  
COCS 4550/5550, Intro to AI, Fall 2017

Name:

<b>Score</b>	<b>Completeness</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>Application</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>Creativity</b>
<b>3</b>	Video covers all topics: title slide, motivation, application, methods, results, and conclusion	Method goes beyond algorithms used in assignments, introduces a modification we did not cover, or compares multiple different methods	Application goes beyond those in assignments and is properly motivated and explained	Results are presented clearly and demonstrate 6-weeks worth of effort	Choice of topics and presentation of video are interesting and demonstrate creativity
<b>2</b>	Good presentation and logical flow, but topics missing	Slight modifications are made to methods given in class, but simply to enable new application, rather than an algorithmic modification	Application is novel, but not clearly explained or motivated	Results are presented clearly, but lack depth, given the allotted time	Topic choice or video presentation demonstrate some creativity, but not both
<b>1</b>	Many topics missing	Method not modified from those given in class assignments	Application not modified from those given in class	Some results are present, but not easily understandable	Both choice of topics and presentation are lackluster
<b>0</b>	No topics covered	Methods not mentioned	Application not mentioned	No results presented	No creative effort made

Notes: