

#### **Introduction to Artificial Intelligence** COSC 4550 / COSC 5550

Professor Cheney 10/27/17

## deep learning!

much of our interactions with the world are through vision

thus, it is very important for general artificial intelligence to be able to make high-level abstract decision about visual information (e.g. from camera sensors)

while we focus here on deep learning for spacial abstraction we will see later how we use similar ideas to make abstractions along other dimensions (e.g. through time) for these reasons, deep learning for image processing has been extremely practical and valuable to industry lately

> and deep learning researchers/practitioners have been in high demand

Google Photos

	* ▼⊿ i	3:31		* 💎 🖌 盲 3:31		🕀 💎 🖹 🛢 9:50
← Concerts	$\times$	:	← Food	× :	← Dogs	× :
Friday, Apr 22, 2011			Monday, Jul 29, 2013		Feb 28	
	• •					Live Ork
Tuesday, Jul 20, 2010			Ome Care		Feb 26	
		i -				
			Sunday, Jul 28, 2013			2
			YES, IT'S AN OPEN BAR	2/4 REIEIVED		



#### Photos: Suggest Tags

This helps your friends label and share their photos, and makes it easier to find out when photos of you are posted.











What would you say if I told you there is a app on the market that tell you if you have a hotdog or not a hotdog. It is very good and I do not want to work on it any more. You can hire someone else.

#### REVIEWS

#### convolutional neural networks



each layer in a fully connected neural network lets us find more abstract features from lower level attributes in our data

this was great when we were choosing the original attributes by hand, but now that we're using in very high dimensional inputs, it gets quite expensive!



e.g. for a (fairly small) camera image of 256 x 256 pixels, for which we want to find 100 relevant feature at the next layer

256 \* 256 = 65,536 input nodes

65,536 \* 100 = 6,553,600 weights/parameters to learn!

and that's just for one layer!



if we wanted just 5 layers, and 100 times more training data than we have parameters to fit

> 6,553,600 \* 5 \* 100 = 3,276,800,000 images to collect for our dataset

#### luckily for us, it turns out that fully connected networks are overkill

recall that we were interested in slowly building up more abstract features over a number of layers

that means that to achieve spatial abstraction, each layer really only needs to be connected to the features of the previous layer nearby by it in the image











Object parts (combination) of edges

Object models



Edges at various orientations

Input pixels

#### imagine a simple 1-D input vector



a fully connected neural network would use all the weights (x<sub>i</sub>) for every attribute in the layer below it to predict what feature was present at that layer of abstraction (F)



in a convolutional neural network, we'll ask what feature is present at each location, given the previous layer's features at that point in space

so the output of each "A" represents a 2-pixel wide feature



we've reduced the size of our input from 9 1-pixel features to 8 (more abstract) 2-pixel-wide features

but more importantly, since we've asked if the same "A" feature is present at each localization, we can reuse the same "A" filter for each location! ("weight sharing")



it also means that each of those "A" filters, only needs to take 2 inputs, and spit out 1 output – this is cheap!

so cheap that we could even use a whole neural network to represent a complex mapping in "A" if we wanted to



at the next layer, we could do the same thing again, learning a different local feature extractor, compressing the image to represent fewer (but more abstract) features



#### until our final decision is a simple one of few attributes



filter's can have arbitrary size, to capture features with larger spacial dependencies (e.g. 3-pixel wide features here)



and can be applied with any frequency throughout the image to compress it more at each layer

(e.g. a "stride" of 2, takes 2 steps between filter queries)



#### of course for a 2-D image, the filters will be 2-D



#### but the idea of locally applying repeated filters is the same



#### in fact, compression/abstraction are now happening in multiple dimensions at once!



as a side note, this does not have to be done over pixels

if each x<sub>t</sub> is an observation in time, then convolution would be finding local features/events at each time step
(e.g. did a stock price go up/down compared to yesterday?)



how is this "convolution"... and what is that?

the pointswise overlap (multiplication) of two signals (e.g. cross-correlation of how strongly our filter is expressed in our image)



the pointswise overlap (multiplication) of two signals (e.g. cross-correlation of how strongly our filter is expressed in our image)







### Blur Median Edge-Detect



High-Pass Dilate Erode

Identity	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
<b>Box blur</b> (normalized)	$\frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	
Edge detection	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	

output input

applying this process with a given filter size (e.g. 3x3) and a given stride (e.g. 1) produces a compressed array (next layer activation) of how strongly that filter was present in our previous layer





Convolved Feature

# often we'll apply many filters (e.g. for RGB channels) to spit out one output, or many different features

0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	156	155	156	158	158	
0	153	154	157	159	159	
0	149	151	155	158	159	
0	146	146	149	153	158	
0	145	143	143	148	158	

Input Channel #1 (Red)



Kernel Channel #1





0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	167	166	167	169	169	
0	164	165	168	170	170	
0	160	162	166	169	170	
0	156	156	159	163	168	
0	155	153	153	158	168	
		:				

Input Channel #2 (Green)



Kernel Channel #2



..... .... .... .... .... .... .... ... ....

Input Channel #3 (Blue)



Kernel Channel #3



Bias = 1



-25		

### "Alexnet" (2012)



#### "Alexnet" (2012)



input an RGB image (scaled down to 224\*224 pixels) output the / probability that the images belongs to any of 1000 classes